

\$~A-43

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 2804/2020

VINAYAK GROUP OF EDUCATION SOCIETY ..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Mayank Manish & Mr.Ravi Kant,  
Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION  
AND ORS. .... Respondents

Through Ms.Arunima Dwivedi, Standing  
Counsel with Ms.Niharika Rai &  
Ms.Ankita, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH**

**ORDER**

%

**13.03.2020**

1. Issue notice to the respondents.
2. Ms.Arunima Dwivedi accepts notice on behalf of respondents.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner says that the issue raised in the instant case is similar to the issue which arose in a batch of writ petitions, the lead matter being *W.P. (C) No. 8820/2019, titled Sir Chhotu Ram Jat College of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.* This judgment, according to learned counsel for petitioner, was rendered on 18.10.2019. Ms.Dwivedi does not dispute this fact. Learned counsel for respondent further submits that an appeal has been filed against the judgment passed in *W.P. (C) No. 8820/2019, titled Sir Chhotu Ram Jat College of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.*

However, the appeal has not been listed.

4. Accordingly, the relief sought in prayer clause (a) is allowed.

5. The directions contained in the aforementioned judgment will apply mutatis mutandis to this case as well.

**JAYANT NATH, J.**

**MARCH 13, 2020/st**