

\$~2.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 950/2020 and CRL.M.A. 3874/2020 (stay)
PAWANJOT SINGH Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Chugh and Mr. Sandeep
Kumar, Advocates

versus

STATE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Dr. M.P. Singh, APP for State with
Insp. Praveen Kumar, Cyber Crime Unit, Spl. Cell
Ms. Perna Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **27.02.2020**

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No.96/2012 under Sections 509/109/500 IPC r/w Section 66 C Information Technology Act registered at Police Station EOW, District South, Delhi on the ground of settlement having been arrived at between the parties.
2. The present FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 as she alleged that the petitioner had misused photographs as well as mobile number of respondent no.2 due to which she started receiving calls from unknown numbers repeatedly, which caused harassment to her.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the offence against the co-accused, Aditya Singh has already been compounded by the trial court.
4. Learned APP for the State submits that the charge sheet in the present case has been filed against the present petitioner and respondent No. 2 is the only complainant/victim.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties have entered into a settlement before Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi

on 31.01.2020. In terms of the settlement, respondent no.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

6. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in person, are identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and has undertaken not to repeat the same in future.

7. Respondent no. 2 states that she has entered into the settlement with the petitioner out of her own free will, volition and without any undue force, pressure or coercion. She further states that the petitioner has not repeated the same incident and she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

8. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

9. The parties shall remain bound by their statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cost of Rs.30,000/-. Out of which, Rs.15,000/- shall be paid by the petitioner to respondent No.2 by way of a Demand Draft through I.O. and Rs.15,000/- shall be deposited with the *Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee* within two weeks from today. Receipt evidencing deposit of costs be filed in the Registry as well as with the Investigating Officer.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.
12. Order *dasti* to the counsels for the parties.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2020

na