

\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1895/2020**

M/S. PAYPORTAL SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Saubhagya Agarwal and
Mr.Abhishek Mohan, Advocates.

versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CIRCLE 19(2)

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Deepak Anand, Senior Standing
Counsel with Mr.Vipul Agarwal,
Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% 19.02.2020

1. Issue notice. Learned counsel for the respondent accepts notice.
2. The short grievance in the present writ petition is against the demand notice issued in pursuance of the assessment order passed the Assessing Officer (AO), for Rs.3,60,87,171/-.
3. The petitioner has preferred a stay application, before the AO as well as CIT (A) before whom the statutory appeal has been preferred. The grievance is that neither of the stay applications has been considered and the respondent has proceeded to attach the bank account of the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the AO shall dispose of the stay application, moved by the petitioner, within one week from today and

till then the amount in the account of the petitioner shall not be appropriated.

5. In view of the aforesaid statement, which shall continue to bind the respondent, this petition is accordingly disposed of.

6. *Dasti*.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2020

v