

\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1927/2020**

SHARDA DEVI MAHAVIDYALAY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish with Mr. Ravi
Kant, Advocates.

versus

**NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANR.**

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, Standing
counsel with Ms. Niharika Rai and
Ms. Ankita, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

% **19.02.2020**

1. Issue notice to the respondents.
2. Ms. Arunima Dwivedi accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
3. Mr. Mayank Manish submits that the issue raised in the captioned petition is covered by the judgment of this Court rendered on 08.01.2020 in a batch of writ petitions, the lead petition being W.P. (C) 12307/2019, titled *Shrvinayak Mahavidyalaya Vs. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr.*
4. A copy of the order is appended on page 39 of the paper book and is marked as Annexure-P4.

5. Ms. Dwivedi, who, appears on advance notice on behalf of the respondents affirms this position.

6. To be noted, the substantive prayers made in the writ petition are as follows:-

“ a. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Directions for processing the application of the Petitioner institution seeking recognition for the ITEP course; and/or

b. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Directions to NCTE to re-accept any other details as desired; and/or; ”

7. Accordingly, the prayers made in the writ petition are allowed.

8. The directions contained in the aforementioned judgment will apply *mutatis mutandis* to the petitioner in this case as well.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

FEBRUARY 19, 2020

c