

\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2066/2019**

M. V. KOSHY

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Resmitha R. Chandran with Ms.
Biju Joseph, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mrs. Bharthi Raju, Adv. for R-1/UOI.
Mr. Gagan Vaswani, Adv. for Mr.
Satish Aggarwal, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

12.02.2020

CM No.19182/2019

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

W.P.(C) No.2066/2019 & CM No.9663, 19181/2019, 1426/2020

2. Counsel for respondent No.2 says that he has received the following instructions vide communication dated 11.2.2020:

“....Please refer to you Email dated 11.02.2020 and letter by the undersigned dated 10.01.2020 on the above mentioned subject.

2. *In this regard, it is to inform that the party has deposited amount of Rs.5,63,885/-, 3,75,923/-, 8,45,828/- and 5,64,659/- under SVLDRS in Govt. Account, but as the firm has filed a writ petition in Hon'ble High Court. The removal of freezing of account of the firm can be done after withdrawal of the writ petition by the firm and necessary action thereof.*

3. *Submitted for necessary action at your end please... ”*

3. Given the aforesaid communication, counsel for respondent No.2 says that if the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, the freezing order will be lifted.

3.1 The statement of counsel for respondent No.2 is taken on record.

4. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

4.1 In case the needful is not done, the petitioner will have liberty to approach the Court as per law.

5. The pending applications shall, accordingly, stand disposed of.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2020/pmc