

\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 636/2020**

MANISH KUMAR SHARMA & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Mr. Prem Prakash, Advocate with Mr. Shikhar Bhardwaj & Mr. Abhijit Bhatnagar, Advocates alongwith petitioner Nos. 1 & 3 in person.

Versus

STATE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP for State with ASI Hari Kishan

Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate with Mr. Himanshu Pratap Singh, Advocate alongwith Respondent No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **05.02.2020**

CRL.M.A. 2636/2020 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 636/2020 & CRL.M.A. 2637/2020 (Stay)

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No. 312/2019 under Sections 406/498A/34 IPC registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, Delhi on the ground that parties have settled their disputes.
2. Ms. Neelam Sharma, learned APP for the State submits that the charge sheet in the present case has been filed under the aforesaid sections against the petitioners and respondent no. 2 is the only complainant/victim.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Nos. 2 & 4 are not present in the Court today as petitioner No. 2, the mother of petitioner No. 1 has suffered paralytic attack today itself and has been

hospitalised and petitioner No. 4 is looking after her for which reason they are not present today.

4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have arrived at a settlement on 24.08.2018. A copy of the same is annexed with the petition as Annexure P-2. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioner Nos. 1 & 3 and respondent No. 2 who are present in person are identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No. 2, who is present in Court, submits that she has entered into the settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties are bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since the disputes are matrimonial in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

11. Order *dasti* to the counsels for the parties.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

FEBRUARY 05, 2020/p'ma