

\$~39, 2 to 4, 24 to 28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1508/2020 & CM No.5219/2020

KUSUMRAJ PRIVATE ITI AND ORS.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Arti Bansal, Adv.

+ W.P.(C) 1250/2020 & CM No.4320/2020

CHANDRA PRIVATE ITI AND ORS.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Digpaul with Mr. Aaryan
Verma, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 1368/2020 & CM No.4759/2020

ASHA PRIVATE ITI AND ORS.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent
Through: Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla with
Mr. Gokul Sharm and Mr. Shrvan
Kumar, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 1435/2020

ITI CLUB OF INDIA Petitioner
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar with Ms. Binisa
Mohanty and Ms. Priti Kumari, Advs.
versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent
Through: Ms. Gigi C. George, Adv.

+ W.P.(C) 1042/2020 & CM No.3459/2020

SAMRAT ASHOK PRIVATE ITI AND ORS. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.
versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent
Through: Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla with
Mr. Gokul Sharm and Mr. Shrvan
Kumar, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 1116/2020 & CM No.3720/2020

DALSINGHSARAI TECHNICAL
PRIVATE ITI AND ORS. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.
versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent
Through: Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla with
Mr. Gokul Sharm and Mr. Shrvan
Kumar, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 1117/2020 & CM No.3721/2020

GAUTAM BUDDHA PRIVATE ITI AND ORS. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent
Through: Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla with
Mr. Gokul Sharm and Mr. Shrvan
Kumar, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 1119/2020 & CM No.3726/2020

BUDDHA TECHNICAL ITC AND ORS. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent
Through: Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla with
Mr. Gokul Sharm and Mr. Shrvan
Kumar, Advs.

+ W.P.(C) 1122/2020 & CM No.3729/2020

MULTI CRAFT PRIVATE ITI AND ORS. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Sanjay Sharawat with Mr.
Divyank Rana, Mr. Abhishek
Dhankar and Mr. Ashok Kumar,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF TRAINING Respondent

Through: Mr. Bhagwan Swarup Shukla with
Mr. Gokul Sharm and Mr. Shrvan
Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER
13.02.2020

%

1. In the captioned matters, principally, challenge is laid to the notification dated 9.1.2020 issued by the respondent/Directorate General of Training (hereafter referred to as “DGT”).

2. Via this notification, the provision for grace mark, which was available up until then, was sought to be withdrawn. Since then, the DGT has, concededly, issued a clarification on 7.2.2020 making applicable the notification dated 9.1.2020 to those trainees, who would be admitted in August 2019 session and thereafter.

2.1 This clarification, which has been issued via Circular dated 7.2.2020 reads as under:

“...In continuation of this office letter even no. dated 09.01.2020 on the subject mentioned above, it is clarified that all the changes including no grace mark provision will be applicable for the trainees admitted in the session August, 2019 and onwards...”

3. Given the aforesaid clarification, the main grievance of the petitioners in the captioned matters stands addressed. Therefore, the DGT will issue fresh mark-sheets to the petitioners taking into account the provision in place for grant of grace marks prior to notification dated 9.1.2020.

3.1 This exercise will be completed within the next two weeks.

4. The captioned petitions are disposed of in the aforementioned terms.
5. Resultantly, pending applications shall stand closed.
6. *Dasti.*

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2020/pmc

W.P.(C) 1508/2020 & Conn.

5/5