

\$~38.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 308/2020**

JITENDER KUMAR & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. C.K. Bhatt, Advocate with
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP for State with
SI S. Singh, P.S. Jaipur
Mr. S.K. Atri, Advocate for respondent No.2 with
Respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

22.01.2020

CRL.M.A. 1335/2020 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 308/2020

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No.59/2014 under Sections 498A/406/323 IPC registered at Police Station Mahila Thana, Jaipur City on the ground that parties have settled their disputes.
2. Ms. Neelam Sharma, learned APP for the State, submits that the charge sheet in the present case has been filed under the aforesaid sections against the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

CRL.M.C. 308/2020

Page 1 of 3

3. Learned counsels for the parties submit that they have entered into a settlement before Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi on 20.03.2019. A copy of the same is annexed with the petition as Annexure-9. The parties have already obtained a decree of divorce by mutual consent passed by the Family Court, South East, Saket, New Delhi on 21.10.2019. In terms of the settlement, remaining amount of Rs.1,50,000/- has been handed over in Court today to respondent No.2 by way of a demand draft bearing No.589193 dated 14.11.2019 drawn on Punjab National Bank. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
4. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in person, are identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
5. Respondent No. 2, who is present in Court, states that she has entered into the settlement agreement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
6. The parties are bound by the statements made in Court today.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. In view of the above facts and since the disputes are matrimonial in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.
9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

10. Order *dasti* to the learned counsels for the parties.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JANUARY 22, 2020

na