$~58.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 721/2020

GUNJAN KAWATRA ..... Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER & ANR. ..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhishek Maratha, Mr. Pratyaksh Gupta and Ms. Nupur Sharma, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

O R D E R

% **20.01.2020**

C.M. No. 2095/2020

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 721/2020 and C.M. No. 2094/2020

The petitioner, who appears in person, has preferred this petition to assail the assessment order dated 23.12.2019 passed by the Income Tax Officer, Ward 30(6), New Delhi. Since the petitioner has a statutory remedy to prefer an appeal against the impugned assessment order before the CIT (A), we are not inclined to entertain the present petition.

The submission of the petitioner is that she was issued a notice dated 23.12.2019 requiring the petitioner to appear on 20.01.2020 i.e. today at

12:45 PM to show cause as to why the order imposing penalty on the petitioner under Section 271(1)(c) of the Income Tax Act should not be passed against her.

Since the petitioner has preferred this petition and has been present in Court since morning, she has not been able to attend the said hearing fixed by the Income Tax Officer, Ward 30(6), New Delhi.

Keeping in view the aforesaid circumstance, we permit the petitioner to respond to the notice dated 23.12.2019 and appear before the Income Tax Officer, Ward 30(6), New Delhi on 05.02.2020 at 12:45 PM. No further notice would be required to be given to the petitioner for the said purpose. This date has been fixed with the consent of the Assessing Officer, who is present in Court.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

SANJEEV NARULA, J

JANUARY 20, 2020
kd