

\$~35.

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 215/2020**

**SONU BHADANA**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kedar Yadav, Advocate with  
petitioner in person

versus

**STATE & ANR.**

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP for State with  
ASI Aniek, P.S. Sangar Vihar

Mr.Harkaran Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2  
with respondent No.2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI**

**ORDER**

%

**17.01.2020**

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No.273/2012 under Sections 354/506/509 IPC registered at P.S. Sangam Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have settled their disputes.
2. As per the prosecution case, the present FIR has been filed by respondent No.2 against the present petitioner, who are known to each other. The incident occurred on account of a quarrel that took place between the parties on the issue of eve-teasing and molestation.
3. Ms. Neelam Sharma, learned APP for the State, submits that the charge sheet in the present case has been filed under the aforesaid sections against the petitioner and respondent no.2 is the only complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that they have entered into a settlement before Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi on 24.11.2016. A copy of the same is annexed with the petition as Annexure P-3. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim

**CRL.M.C. 215/2020**

**Page 1 of 2**

whatsoever against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in person are identified by their respective counsels as well as by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and has undertaken not to repeat the same in future.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the settlement with the petitioner out of her own free will, volition and without any undue force, pressure or coercion. She further states that the petitioner has not repeated the same incident and that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by their statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties voluntarily, in my view, no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

11. Order *dasti* to the counsels for the parties.

**MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J**

**JANUARY 17, 2020**

na