

\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 551/2020

RAJEEV GANDHI SHIKSHA MAHAVIDYALAYA..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish with Mr. Ravi
Kant, Advocates.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND
ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Arunima Dwivedi, Standing
counsel with Ms. Niharika Rai,
Advocates for respondents.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

22.01.2020

1. Notice on this writ petition was issued on 15.01.2020.
2. On that date, Ms. Dwivedi represented the respondents. Seven(7) days were given to file a counter-affidavit. The counter-affidavit has not been filed.
3. The substantive prayers made in the writ petition are as follows:-

“ a. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Directions for processing the application submitted by Petitioner institution seeking recognition for the ITEP course; and/or

b. Issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Directions for NCTE re-accept the any other details as desired; “

4. It is the petitioner's case that the requisite fee has been deposited.

4.1 For this purpose, my attention has been drawn to pages 103 & 104 of the paper book.

5. Furthermore, Mr. Mayank Manish, who, appears for the petitioner has also drawn my attention to page 108. In support of his plea that a hard copy of the application has also been furnished to the respondents.

6. Ms. Dwivedi, who, appears on behalf of the respondents says that she has instructions to convey that if the fee as claimed by the petitioner has been received, the application of the petitioner for recognition of ITEP course shall be processed.

6.1 The statement of the counsel is taken on record.

7. The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the statement of Ms. Dwivedi.

8. Needless to add, the application will be processed subject to the verification of the assertions made therein and the contents of documents appended thereto.

9. It is made clear that if any deficiency is found, the same will be pointed out to the petitioner and the petitioner will cure the same within the timeframe defined by the respondents.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

JANUARY 22, 2020

c