

\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 135/2020**

SH. ROHIT AGGARWAL & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Ms. Anjali Nehra, Advocate with Mr.
Rama Kant Kashyap, Advocate alongwith
petitioners in person.

Versus

STATE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP for State with
SI Rajiv Ranjan, P.S. Kirti Nagar
Mr. Arjun Kanojia, Advocate alongwith
respondent No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% 14.01.2020

CRL.M.A. 578/2020 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 135/2020

1. The present proceedings are instituted seeking quashing of FIR No. 46/2017 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, Delhi on the ground that parties have settled their disputes.
2. Ms. Neelam Sharma, learned APP for the State, submits that the charge sheet in the present case has been filed under the aforesaid sections against the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim.

3. Learned counsels for the parties submit that they have entered into a settlement on 06.08.2018. A copy of the same is annexed with the petition. In terms of the settlement, remaining amount of ₹2,00,000/- is paid today to respondent No. 2 by way of a demand draft bearing No. 894051 dated 13.01.2020 drawn on Yes Bank. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
4. The petitioners and respondent No. 2 who are present in person, are identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
5. Respondent No. 2, who is present in Court, states that she has entered into the settlement agreement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
6. The parties are bound by the statements made in Court today.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. In view of the above facts and since the disputes are matrimonial in nature, no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.
9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.
10. Order *dasti* to the learned counsels for the parties.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JANUARY 14, 2020/p'ma

CRL.M.C. 135/2020

Page 2 of 2