

\$~28 to 31

- * **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
- + W.P.(C) 385/2020, CM APPL. 1054/2020 (stay)
NIRDOSH GAUTAM AND ORS. Petitioners
versus
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents
- + W.P.(C) 387/2020, CM APPL. 1057/2020 (stay)
KULDEEP KUMAR AND ORS. Petitioners
versus
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents
- + W.P.(C) 388/2020, CM APPL. 1060/2020 (stay)
SANDEEP AND ORS. Petitioners
versus
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents
- + W.P.(C) 397/2020, CM APPL. 1093/2020 (stay)
AMARJEET AND ORS. Petitioners
versus
GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS. Respondents

Present : Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for petitioners in all items.
Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel for GNCTD (Services)
with Mr. N.K. Singh, Advocate in all items.
Mr. Rajesh Gogna, CGSC with Mrs. Niddhi Raman, G.P. for R-5,
R-6 & R-7.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

28.01.2020

After some hearing, counsel for the petitioners submits that the grounds raised at the time of hearing of the O.A., were not considered by Central Administrative Tribunal ('Tribunal'). Counsel submits that various judgments

Signature Not Verified

21d, relied upon and annexed with the O.A. are also not reflected in the
Signing Date: 19.10.2024 17:06:25
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

impugned order.


Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel for Government of NCT of Delhi (Services), submits that there is no infirmity in the order passed by the Tribunal. She submits that the appointments would obviously have to be made as per the Recruitment Rules of the year 2014 when the vacancies were notified and the advertisement was published; and subsequent directions issued by the Central Government on 07.01.2016 cannot be applied.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners submits that he would file review applications before the Tribunal.

In view of the above, the writ petitions and all pending applications stand disposed of.

In case review applications are filed, the same would be disposed of by the Tribunal in accordance with law. Furthermore, if review applications are filed within two weeks, as agreed, the respondents will not raise the plea of limitation.

We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.


G.S.SISTANI, J



ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 28, 2020

rb

W.P.(C) 385/2020 etc.

page 2 of 2