

S~23 to 26, 28 to 36 & 40

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

- + W.P.(C) 10103/2020 & CM Nos.32153-54/2020
(24) W.P.(C) 10104/2020 & CM Nos.32155-56/2020
(25) W.P.(C) 10106/2020 & CM Nos.32157-58/2020
(26) W.P.(C) 10107/2020 & CM Nos.32159-60/2020
(28) W.P.(C) 10110/2020 & CM Nos.32168-69/2020
(29) W.P.(C) 10111/2020 & CM Nos.32170-71/2020
(30) W.P.(C) 10112/2020 & CM Nos.32172-73/2020
(31) W.P.(C) 10113/2020 & CM Nos.32174-75/2020
(32) W.P.(C) 10114/2020 & CM Nos.32176-77/2020
(33) W.P.(C) 10115/2020 & CM Nos.32178-79/2020
(34) W.P.(C) 10116/2020 & CM Nos.32180-81/2020
(35) W.P.(C) 10117/2020 & CM Nos.32182-83/2020
(36) W.P.(C) 10118/2020 & CM Nos.32184-85/2020
(40) W.P.(C) 10137/2020 & CM Nos.32288-89/2020

SITI NETWORKS LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through Ms. Petal Chandhok, Adv.

versus

NEW LIKITHA CABLE AND ANR. Respondents
S.N. ARUN KUMAR CABLE TV NETWORK & ANR. Respondents
HARI S VIDEO CENTRE & ANR. Respondents
UDAYAGIRI CABLE NETWORKS & ANR. Respondents
SRI KANNIKA DISH AND CABLE VISION & ANR... Respondents
SRI GANESHA ENTERPRISES & ANR. Respondents
SRI SEETHA DEVI CABLE NETWORK & ANR. Respondents
VINAYAKA CABLE & ANR. Respondents
SRI MANJUNATHA DISH VIEW & ANR. Respondents
RAGHAVENDRA ENTERPRISES & ANR. Respondents
SUBASH CABLE NETWORK & ANR. Respondents
SRI MANJUNATHA CABLE NETWORKS & ANR..... Respondents
SRI RAGHAVENDRA CABLE NETWORK & ANR.... Respondents
AKSHAYA CABLE NETWORK & ANR. Respondents

Through Ms.Vidya Prabhakaran Khurana &
Mr.Mukul Sharma, Advs.

2 ✓

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER
10.12.2020

%

1. This hearing has been held by video conferencing.
2. The primary grievance of the petitioner(s) in the present batch of petitions is that the petitions having been filed on 05.10.2020, the same have not been listed before the learned Telecom Disputes Settlement and Appellate Tribunal (TDSAT) in spite of being mentioned for urgent listing.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that these petitions seek urgent interim relief inasmuch as it is the case of the petitioner that the respondent no.1 in each of these petitions, in spite of having Interconnection Agreement(s) with the petitioner, is migrating its subscribers to the respondent no.2 without following the Interconnection Regulations.
4. Keeping in view the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the underlying dispute between the petitioner and the respondents, the learned TDSAT is requested to list these petitions for hearing within a week of the communication of this order. The petitions shall be considered by the learned TDSAT on their own merit.
5. The petitions are disposed of with the above directions. There shall be no order as to cost.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

DECEMBER 10, 2020/Arya

Signature valid
NAVIN CHAWLA

