

\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12909/2019, CM APPL. 52705/2019 & 52706/2019

MR. PRASANNA PRAKASH PANDA Petitioner

Through Mr. Vivek Singh and Mr. Onkar Nath,
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents

Through Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate for
R-1.

Ms. Maninder Acharya, ASG with
Mr. Siddharth Barua, Ms. Aditi Gupta,
Mr. Krishnesh Bapat and Mr. Vipul
Acharya, Advocates for R-2 & R-3.

Mr. Ashim Sood and Ms. Senu Nizar,
Advocates for R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

% **29.01.2020**

Without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties, taking note of the fact that the petitioner is already pursuing his remedy by way of an appeal against his suspension and being paid the subsistence allowance, it is considered appropriate to direct the respondents to also pay the commensurate allowance for the residential accommodation inasmuch as the amounts being paid to the petitioner towards the lease accommodation is *prima facie* considered to be in the nature of an allowance rather than the perquisite as contended by Ms. Acharya, Id. Addl. Solicitor General. This order shall not be treated to be a precedent and the petition stands disposed of accordingly.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

JANUARY 29, 2020/nn