

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-49-2020

Date of decision: 07.01.2020

Ujepal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. RS Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

In the instant criminal writ petition under articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No. 2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of petitioner and his family members at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6, who are causing threats to them, as on the complaint of petitioner action has been recommended against respondents No. 4 and 5, who committed cheating and fraud upon him, in active connivance with respondent No. 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the purpose of instant writ petition would be achieved, if, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, is directed to decide representation dated 16.07.2019 (Annexure P-2), moved by the petitioner.

In view of the above, respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent

of Police, Hoshiarpur, is directed to look into the matter and decide representation Annexure P-2, moved by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to him, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The instant writ petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

January 07, 2020
rishu

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No