

135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.2703 of 2019

Date of Decision:01.01.2020

Neha Chaudhary and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Petitioners in-person along with
Mr. Sutikishan Sharma, Advocate.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner No.2 is not living in his matrimonial house and has honoured his earlier relations with petitioner No.1, though the petitioners have not married each other. During the subsistence of the marriage of petitioner No.2 with Priyanka, they feel reasonable apprehension of their elimination at the hands of the private respondents. A representation has already been filed before respondent No.2 on 23.12.2019.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to comment upon the validity of relationship between the petitioners, however, respondent No.2 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by the petitioners in this petition.

Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts of the case. In case respondent No.2 finds that there is some truth in the allegations of the petitioners, then he/she shall proceed to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The present order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law, if he/she is found involved in some other case. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the

petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

01.01.2020

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No