

139

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.2707 of 2019

Date of Decision: 01.01.2020

Mohd. Sajid and another

.....Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Petitioners in-person along with
Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting their lives and personal liberties from being invaded by respondents No.4 to 7.

Petitioners belong to Muslim Community and are alleged to have contracted marriage on 27.12.2019. Petitioner No.2 is 17½ years of age as on date.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the validity or otherwise of the marriage, it would be just and appropriate to consider this petition for protection of the petitioners in view of threat perception shown by them.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to comment upon the validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners in terms of their respective ages, however, respondent No.2 can be asked to consider the grievance of the petitioners forming subject matter of representation dated 27.12.2019.

Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts of the case. In case respondent No.2 finds that there is some truth in the allegations of the petitioners, then he/she shall proceed to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The present order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove strictly in accordance with law. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law if he/she is found involved in some other case. It would be

appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

01.01.2020

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No