

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.2667 of 2019**

**Date of Decision:-16.01.2020**

Amandeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present:- Ms. Suman Kumari, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)**

Petitioners claim that they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, they have filed the present petition for protection.

Petitioners claim to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-6) dated 26.12.2019 in this regard to the Senior Supereintendent of Police, Kapurthala and the SHO, Police Station Sadar, Kapurthala.

Heard. Record perused.

Notice of motion to the office of Advocate General, Punjab.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab, present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State. Copy supplied.

The petitioners have placed on record only the copies of

Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) as proof of their age. The petitioner No.2 is stated to be age of 20 years and 10 months and has not completed 21 years. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that even if the age of petitioner No.2 is less than 21 years, the marriage would not be invalid because at the most it would be improper. In fact the rights which have been granted under Article 21 of the Constitution of India would not be taken away on this account and since there is imminent danger to the life and liberty, the petitioners approached this Court.

During the course of hearing, the petitioners have also produced self attested copies of their Matriculation certificates which are taken on record. Be tagged at appropriate place. Photocopy of matriculation certificate of petitioner No.2 as Annexure P-3 and four photographs on two sheets as Annexure P-4 have also been placed on record.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, to look into the representation Annexure P-6 dated 26.12.2019 and ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be treated as stamp of the Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion regarding the validity of the marriage has been expressed.

January 16, 2020  
Vijay Asija

( JASGURPREET SINGH PURI )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes / No