

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.37710 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION: JANUARY 07, 2020**

Anita

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for sometime, prays for withdrawal of the writ petition in the light of the observations of this Court that the writ petition, at this stage, is pre-mature as only notice has been issued to the petitioner on 17.12.2019 (Annexure P-14) by the District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Amritsar, to which she has submitted her reply dated 21.12.2019 (Annexure P-15) and the same is still under consideration of the competent authority i.e. the Director, Public Instructions, Punjab.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has apprehension that the competent authority would not look into her reply.

The said contention of learned counsel for the petitioner cannot be accepted as this Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall look into the reply and take the same into consideration before passing a

speaking order with reference to notice dated 17.12.2019 (Annexure P-14).

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

January 07, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No