

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55613 of 2019

Date of Decision:-18.02.2020

Sandeep Singh @ Sunny

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Manvir Singh Toor, Advocate for
Mr. N.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.56 dated 24.08.2019, under Section 379-B IPC (Sections 379, 473 and 411 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Kahnuwan, District Gurdaspur. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 26.12.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR was lodged after a period of 14 days and the petitioner was not even named in the FIR. He was named for the first time in the disclosure statement of Ranbir Singh.

Notice of motion for 11.02.2020.

Petitioner is directed to surrender before the police

and join investigation within two weeks. In the event of his arrest being required, he shall be released on interim bail till the next date, subject to his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. However, he shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)(i) to (iv) Cr.P.C failing which, the concession of interim bail shall stand cancelled.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Jagdish Singh does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Jagdish Singh further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 26.12.2019 is made absolute.

February 18, 2020
Vijay Asija

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No