

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55706-2019

Date of decision: 13.01.2020

Annu Sharma

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Haryana  
assisted by ASI Usha.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.216 dated 06.11.2019 under Sections 323, 34, 342, 376, 377, 506 of IPC and Section 3 of SC and ST (Prevention of Atrocities Act), registered at P.S. Women Police Station, Ballabgarh, Faridabad.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case, just to pressurize the petitioner to withdraw the case of rape lodged by her against the father of the complainant.

3. Learned State counsel, on the other hand submits that there are serious allegations levelled against the petitioner and co-accused, i.e. husband of the petitioner. It has also been contended by the learned State counsel that in the statement under Section 164

Cr.P.C. recorded before the learned Illaqa Magistrate, specific allegations have been levelled by the complainant against the petitioner for using casteist remarks.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

6. In view of the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that no ground for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

7. Petition stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

**January 13, 2020**

*Dinesh*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No