

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM-M-65 of 2020.
Decided on:- January 13, 2020.

Sandeep @ Deepu.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

(2) CRM-M-55518 of 2019.

Mukesh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the aforesaid two petitions under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail filed by petitioners Sandeep @ Deepu and Mukesh as the same have arisen from common FIR No.400 dated 17.09.2019 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324 and 354 IPC as well as Section

3(1)(x) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal.

However, for convenience and clarity, the detailed order is being passed in *CRM-M-65 of 2020* titled as *Sandeep @ Deepu Versus State of Haryana*.

Learned counsel for petitioners has argued that apart from the fact that the present is a case of version and cross-version, both the petitioners are in custody since 03.11.2019. The allegations against the petitioners are that they, while in drunken condition, had misbehaved with the daughter-in-law of the complainant and inflicted injuries.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajan, does not dispute the custody states that the present is not a case of version and cross-version, rather, a separate FIR has been registered on the statement of Parveen Kumar, who is uncle of petitioner Mukesh.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that both the sides have got registered FIRs against each other and the petitioners are in custody since 03.11.2019 coupled with the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, this Court finds that both the petitioners deserves to be admitted on bail.

Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

January 13, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No