

CRM-M-55470-2019

Date of Decision: 14.02.2020

Bhim Raj

... Petitioner

v/s

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. I.P.S.Kohli, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that a DDR bearing No. 32 dated 5.12.2018 was registered at Police Station Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur on the allegations of injuries having been inflicted by respondent No. 6 on the person of the petitioner. As per the contents of the DDR, the injuries were under Section 323 IPC. On a query with regard to the result of the X-ray report, learned counsel for the petitioner has expressed his inability to furnish any information as the result of X-ray report is not available with him. It has also been stated that there are allegations with regard to snatching of amount, cutting of trees on different occasions by respondent No.6 and separate applications have been moved to the police but no action is being initiated in the said case.

The petitioner is having remedy to approach the concerned Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. in view of the judgment of the Apex Court in Sakiri Vasu vs. State of U.P. and others; 2008(1) RCR (Criminal) 392.

Dismissed.

14.02.2020

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No