

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR (F) No.10 of 2020.

Decided on:- January 09, 2020.

Simran Batra and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Manoj Batra.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Dua, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioners, who are the wife and minor son of the respondent, have filed the present criminal revision seeking enhancement of maintenance granted to them by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Principal Judge, Family Court, Sonipat vide impugned judgment dated 20.09.2019 in a petition filed by them under Section 125 Cr.P.C.

Vide impugned judgment dated 20.09.2019, the family Court has directed the respondent-husband to pay maintenance @ Rs.12,000/- per month i.e. Rs.6,000/- per month to the petitioners No.1 and 2 from the date of filing of the application.

Admittedly, the petitioner No.2 minor son is residing with his mother i.e. petitioner No.1, who is working as a teacher and her gross salary is Rs.88,624/- per month whereas net pay is Rs.65,634/- per month. However, the gross salary of the respondent is Rs.52,000/- per month. In this manner,

the family Court has rightly awarded maintenance of Rs.12,000/- per month to the petitioners from the date of filing of the application.

Since the petitioner No.2 minor son is residing with his mother i.e. petitioner No.1, who is working as a teacher and her gross salary is Rs.88,624/- per month, this Court finds that the maintenance awarded by the family Court does not require any interference.

Accordingly, affirming the impugned order dated 20.09.2019 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Sonipat, the present criminal revision, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

January 09, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No