

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Writ Petition No.2497 of 2019

Date of Decision: January 06, 2020

Sonika Kumari & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Gautam Thapar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

On 20.12.2019, following order was passed:-

*“Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab is directed to find out the existence of alleged threat. Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to supply requisite sets of paper book to him, during the course of the day.
Adjourned to 06.01.2020.”*

Today, learned State counsel has filed the reply by way of affidavit of Dharam Paul, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police Model Town-IV, Jalandhar on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, wherein it has been mentioned that the private respondents have no objection to the alleged marriage between the petitioners. It is also mentioned that the petitioners do not face any threat. Their statement is also appended with the reply. This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the above, petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

January 06, 2020
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No