

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.8331-2019(O&M)
Date of Order:06.01.2020.

Vishwa Nath alias Sonu

..Petitioner

Versus

Parshod Chander

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Tenant-petitioner is in revision against the order of eviction passed by the Rent Controller under Section 13 of East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, affirmed in appeal by the appellate authority.

Eviction was sought on the ground of non-payment of rent and bonafide personal necessity of the landlord in order to settle his sons. Tenant denied the relationship and pleaded ownership on the basis of entry in the municipal record.

Learned Rent Controller after appreciating the evidence found that the tenant-petitioner failed to prove ownership. It was further held that the tenant-petitioner while executing general power of attorney in favour of his brother, Ex.R1, has admitted his status and possession as a tenant. The aforesaid document Ex.R1 has been executed by the petitioner. The petitioner has failed to prove that the aforesaid document was executed by mistake.

As noticed above, petitioner has failed to bring on record any

substantive document to prove his plea in the written statement claiming ownership.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, although made sincere attempt, however, failed to draw attention of the court to any substantive error or perversity. This court while exercising revisional jurisdiction has limited jurisdiction.

In view thereof, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

January 06, 2020

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No