

CWP No.37575 of 2019
Date of Decision: 6.1.2020

Satbir Singh Dahiya and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate, for the petitioner.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition is filed for grant of increment of superannuation, which became due on 1st July of the year of retirement. In the entire writ petition, there is no mention of the date of the retirement. However, it is evident from Annexure P-1 as admitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners No.1 and 2 retired in the year 2011 and petitioner No.3 retired in the year 2007. Without disclosing the date of the retirement in the writ petition, a request is made to dispose of the writ petition with direction to decide the representation by passing similar order dated 30.11.2018 as passed in CWP-30356-2018, which is placed on record as Annexure P-6. A perusal of Annexure P-6 shows that the petitioners in the said case had superannuated in the years 2016, 2017 and 2018, whereas, the present petitioners retired in the years 2011 and 2007 respectively. The present writ petition is filed in the year 2020.

No explanation for the delay is forthcoming.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed both on the ground of concealing the date of retirement in the writ petition as also on the ground of delay and latches.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

6.1.2020
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No