

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-54826-2019

Date of decision : 06.01.2020

Gurjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Ashish Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Vivek Puri, J. (Oral)

Heard.

Record perused.

As per the allegations as put-forth by the learned State counsel are to the effect that on 31.10.2019, Parminder Kaur, complainant was proceeding on her scooter along with Baldhir Singh, when they reached near the temple of Baba Khetarpal, two youth came on a motorcycle with muffled faces and the person sitting on the pillion of the motorcycle snatched the ear ring worn by her and thereafter they escaped from the spot.

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been arrayed as an accused on the basis of statement of the co-accused Manpreet Singh who is already in custody.

On the contrary, the bail of the petitioner has been opposed on the score that the recovery is yet to be effected and during the course of investigation, it has emerged that stolen property is in the custody of the petitioner.

The petitioner along with co-accused had indulged in snatching on a highway and such like offence cannot be viewed lightly. Since the recovery is yet to be effected, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner appears to be essential for the proper investigation of the case.

No justifiable ground is made out to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

[VIVEK PURI]
JUDGE

06.01.2020
sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No