

CRWP-2412-2019

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.218

CRWP-2412-2019

Date of Decision: 29.01.2020

SANJEEV

....Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

**Present: Mr. Balraj Singh Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, D.A.G., Haryana
for respondent-State.**

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 21/22.11.2019 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2 i.e. Divisional Commissioner Karnal, whereby the prayer for grant of parole on account of agricultural work, was declined and for issuance of directions to the respondents to grant parole of 6 weeks to the petitioner for agriculture purpose as per Section 3(1)(c) of "The Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988".

Learned State Counsel has filed reply in the Court today, which is taken on record.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has earlier availed the benefit of parole and has not misused the concession of parole.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the

prayer made in the petition.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has earlier availed the benefit of parole and has not misused the said concession, this Court is inclined to allow this petition. Ordered accordingly.

The petitioner is ordered to be released on parole for a period of six weeks from the date of his release as per Rules on furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of the Duty Magistrate concerned. The petitioner shall surrender before the jail authorities after expiry of six weeks and date and time of surrender to be noticed by the releasing Court.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

29.01.2020
Himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No