

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 54416 of 2019
Decided on: 05.03.2020**

Santokh Masih and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.80 dated 13.08.2019 registered under Sections 420, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Ramdas, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar.

The operative part of the order dated 19.12.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioners, is reproduced as under:-

“...Counsel for the petitioners has argued that both the petitioners are the witness to the agreement to sell and the vendor, who has sold the land, has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail vide order dated 04.10.2019 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.42589 of 2019.

Notice of motion for 05.03.2020....”

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 19.12.2019, the petitioners have appeared

before the Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Jugal Kishore, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioners are no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 19.12.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

05.03.2020
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No