

116.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-3450-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.02.2020

POOJA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present revision petition impugning the order dated 17.07.2019 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kapurthala whereby the application filed by the prosecution under Section 319 Cr.P.C. so as to summon Babli wife of Hans Raj as an additional accused to face trial, was dismissed.

The argument of counsel for the petitioner that the application filed under Section 319 Cr.P.C. by the prosecution was dismissed despite the fact that the petitioner has specifically averred that it is in connivance with the accused sought to be summoned, namely Babli, the accused Hans Raj has developed physical relations with the petitioner on the pretext of marriage. The accused sought to be summoned is none else but the mother of Rajesh Hans against whom there is allegation of rape.

There is no such material against the accused sought to be summoned in the case.

No ground for interference is thus made out.

Dismissed.

The present petition has been filed after a delay of 51 days and the ground on which delay is sought to be condoned, is not satisfactory. Accordingly, the application for condonation of delay is also dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

10.02.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No