

**JYOTI KANDA @ JYOTI DIMPY
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB**

Present: Mr. T.S. Hundal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh Randhawa, Advocate
for the complainant.

On 19.12.2019 following order was passed:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.132 dated 25.06.2019 registered under Sections 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 13 of the Punjab Prevention of Human Smuggling Act, 2012, at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala.

Allegations in the FIR are serious. It has been alleged that the petitioner was working as Travel Agent and had duped first informant of huge amount while giving false promises to help him in getting study visa and admission in the college in Australia. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to sit with the first informant and settle the matter.

Learned counsel for the first informant is not averse to the aforesaid suggestion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner at present is in Dubai, therefore, she needs interim protection.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this case is forwarded to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court to explore the possibility of settlement.

List this matter before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 09.01.2020.

For report, adjourned to 31.01.2020.

The arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed for a period of one month”.

It has been stated that though the matter could not amicably be settled through mediation but with the intervention of the respectables the matter has now

been settled. The copy of the compromise dated 19.01.2020 has been placed on record.

The appropriate process will be initiated for quashing/cancellation of the FIR.

Learned counsel for the complainant has acknowledged the fact of compromise.

In these circumstances, the petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest, petitioner shall be admitted on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to the condition that he joins investigation and complies with other provisions of Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

31.01.2020
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE