

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.54391 of 2019
Date of Decision: 07.01.2020**

**Gurwinder Singh @ Ginda Sandhu
.....Petitioner**

Vs

**State of Punjab
.....Respondent**

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. G.S. Jossan, Advocate for
Mr. Inderjit Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.124 dated 25.05.2019 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 IPC at Police Station Division No.6, District Ludhiana.

FIR was registered at the instance of Shambhu Dutt son of Bau Ram who was working in AH Alloy Company, Focal Point, Ludhiana owned by one Happy Gupta. On 22.05.2019, Happy Gupta handed over a bag full of money to the complainant for taking the same to his house. At about 7:15 PM,

the complainant left for the house of the owner. He reached near house of Ashok Puri Line from the road of Gill road to Mohalla Muradpura adjacent to Puri Line, then two young motorcyclists having their helmets on came there. One of the motorcyclists brought his motorcycle adjacent to the scooter and snatched the strap of bag from the neck of the complainant. Due to which, the complainant fell down from the scooter. Due to sudden jerk, the strap of the bag broke down and those unknown persons succeeded in fleeing along with the bag. Complainant could not note down the number of the motorcycle due to darkness. Some other belongings of the complainant were also lying in the bag including Aadhaar Card, driving licence and 8-9 cheque books of different banks. The FIR came to be registered only on 25.05.2019 i.e. after 3 days of the occurrence. Neither the complainant, nor the owner of the AH Alloy Company, i.e. Happy Gupta disclosed about the exact amount lying in the bag, except to say that the same will be disclosed lateron.

Admittedly, no recovery has been effected from the person, despite police remand of the petitioner. It will be debatable as to the complicity of the petitioner viz-a-viz the occurrence in question. FIR was registered against the unknown persons.

At this stage, without meaning anything on merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail who is in custody since 17.07.2019 subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

January 07, 2020.

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No