

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP No.32616 of 2018

Date of decision: February 14, 2020

Pinku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: None for the petitioner.
Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3/State.
Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate for
respondent No.4-GP.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(oral)

Written statement on behalf of respondent No.4 filed in court is taken
on record.

No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Counsel
for respondents have submitted that the present petition is not maintainable
because resolution of the Gram Panchayat could have been challenged under
Section 10-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961.

Be that as it may, since no one has put in appearance on behalf of the
petitioner and same was the situation on the last date of hearing also, therefore, the
present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**

February 14, 2020
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No