

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2020.01.28 17:45
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRWP-2181-2019

Date of Decision: 23.01.2020

Mukesh Kumar @ Minku Choudhary

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. P.K.Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India has been instituted for issuance of writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and provide him security in view of repeated attacks upon him at the hands of terrorists, druggists etc.

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is a social activist and has been raising his voice against social vices like drug menace, illegal mining etc. and is apprehending threat to his life.

In the reply filed by learned State counsel in Court today, it has been pointed out that at the earlier instance, the requisite security was provided. Subsequently, the threat perception has been analyzed and at present, there is no threat to the petitioner from any corner. It has also been mentioned in the reply that concerned Station House Officer, Police Station City, Ferozepur and motorcycle patrolling parties have been specifically directed to ensure the protection of the petitioner. It has been further submitted in the reply that whenever, there will be any threat to the

petitioner in real sense, after carrying out ground level assessment, the necessary action will be immediately taken to ensure the safety of petitioner.

Faced with the situation, it has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has already submitted a representation dated 05.11.2019 (Annexure P-14) to respondent No.3.

Without commenting anything on the assertions, as put forth in the petition, respondent No.3 may look into the grievance of the petitioner, as raised in his representation dated 05.11.2019 (Annexure P-14) in accordance with law.

As the threat perception has been analyzed and it has been ensured that as and when there is any threat perception in future, the necessary remedial action will be taken in accordance with law, no further action is called for.

The petition stands disposed of.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

23.01.2020
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No