

CRM-M-178-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-178-2020

Date of Decision: 30.01.2020

Kiran Bala

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kunal Siag, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.114 dated 18.07.2019, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar, under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Arun Kumar Gupta and Vikas Kumar Gupta, sons of the petitioner, are co-accused in the present case. Even the mobile number and account numbers as mentioned in the FIR do not involve the petitioner in the present case in any sense. The petitioner was arrested on 26.07.2019. During her incarceration, no recovery has been effected from the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ravinder Singh, opposes the prayer made in the present petition. He states that there is one more case pending against the petitioner in which she

had been granted bail.

CRM-M-178-2020

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned State counsel.

Challan has already been presented. The petitioner has been in custody since 26.07.2019. The trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping her behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

30.01.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No