

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

105

CWP-36411-2019

Date of Decision: 18.2.2020

Harry Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.

PRESENT: Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Sahil Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice.

Grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents are demanding non-construction charges without providing basic amenities at the site in question.

A query has been put to learned State counsel whether the issue can be examined by respondent No.1, he submits that it can easily be done.

In these circumstances, we do not intend to pass further orders. The petition is disposed of. The authority may look into the grievance of the petitioner at the earliest.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

February 18, 2020
gbs

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No