

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7138 of 2018.

Decided on:- February 06, 2020.

Kavita Saini and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Dharam Bir Bhargav, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondents to take legal action against respondent No.5 and his accomplices for causing grievous hurt to petitioners No.1 and 2, for molesting the petitioner No.1 and for misusing the police machinery in order to veil his crimes.

Prayer has also been made for issuance of direction to the official respondents to conduct free, fair and impartial investigation in DDR No.38 dated 07.03.2017 registered at Police Station Salem Tabri and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.5.

Power of attorney filed on behalf of respondent No.5 in Court, is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, while drawing attention of this Court to the status report submitted by way of affidavit of Lakhvir Singh Tiwana, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (North), Ludhiana dated 03.12.2018, submits that after registration of the cross-case, the wife of respondent No.5 had moved an application claiming her husband to be innocent. Similarly, the petitioner No.3 had also moved an application and accordingly, inquiry of the said applications was conducted by SHO, Police Station Salem Tabri, Ludhiana and during this inquiry, various persons of the locality including both the parties were associated. During the inquiry, it was revealed that the petitioner No.1 had got a false cross-case registered against respondent No.5. Neither respondent No.5 gave slaps on the face of petitioner No.1 nor he torn her clothes etc. Therefore, the said cross-case got registered by petitioner No.1 against respondent No.5 has been cancelled.

In view of the status-report so filed by the State and noticing the fact that the DDR version was found incorrect, nothing survives in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

February 06, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No