

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-4280-CWP-2020 in/and
CWP-36592-2019**

Date of decision: 16.03.2020

Sham Lal Jain

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.S.R.Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

CM-4280-CWP-2020

Application for placing on record order dated 01.05.2018 wrongly mentioned as Annexure P-15, however, it should be Annexure P-9, is allowed, in view of the averments made in the application, duly supported by affidavit. Same is taken on record. Office to append the same at appropriate place.

CM stands disposed of.

CWP-36592-2019

A perusal of the writ petition would go on to show that the petitioner is aggrieved on account of the inaction of the respondent authorities of Co-operation Department, Haryana in not taking any decision on the allotment of plot No.D-45 in Sidharth Enclave, Fazilpur to the petitioner, despite representation having been filed by the petitioner. In the alternative, prayer is made for refund of the entire amount of Rs.70,000/- paid by the petitioner to respondent No.6-Society, in view of the order dated 30.06.2005 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.4. As per the order of respondent No.1 dated 01.05.2018 (Annexure P-9), directions have already been issued to respondent No.4. In case the petitioner is so aggrieved, it is always open to the petitioner to approach respondent No.1 and bring to his notice that the said order is not complied with.

Faced with this situation, counsel does not press the present writ petition and prays for liberty to approach the above-said respondent, to press the above-said necessary relief.

16.03.2020

Sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No