

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

104

CWP-36325-2019

Date of Decision: 29.1.2020

Ram Kala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.

PRESENT: Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

Contends that for the relief sought in the petition, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 18.9.2019 (Annexure P-6). However, no decision has been taken by the Estate Officer (respondent No.3) thereon nor the order passed by the writ court has been complied with.

Writ petition is disposed of with a direction that the Estate Officer shall take a decision on the representation (Annexure P-6) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the order passed in the petition titled as **Smt. Ram Kala v. State of Haryana and others (CWP-3238-2017)** decided on 13.2.2019 within six weeks from today. In case the matter is further delayed by the officer, State shall be burdened with ₹ 20,000/- as costs. State shall be at liberty to recover the entire cost from the salary of the Estate Officer.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 29, 2020
gbs

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No