

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53556 of 2019.
Decided on:-February 04, 2020.

Amit Sharma.
.....Petitioner.
Versus
State of Haryana and another
.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that in terms of order dated July 15, 2019 passed by this Court in **CRM-M-7097 of 2017** titled as **Amit Sharma Versus State of Haryana and another**, the petitioner was required to revive that petition, in case he clears the outstanding maintenance amount, and not to file a fresh petition.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition, however, with liberty to revive the aforesaid petition i.e. **CRM-M-7097 of 2017**.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

February 04, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No