

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-40563-2018

Date of Decision:-03.03.2020.

Gurlal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (PUDA) and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Vineet Salinder, Advocate for
Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

This writ petition was filed by the petitioner assailing the action of the Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority (PUDA) in not offering him appointment to the post of Draftsman (Engineering) under SC (B/M) Category.

The written statement filed by respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record along with Annexure R-3/1. The said Annexure evidences that the petitioner has now been offered appointment to the post of Draftsman (Engineering) by direct recruitment. In effect, the grievance of the petitioner stands settled.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous.

No order as to costs.

**(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE**

March 03, 2020.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.