

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.53543 of 2019  
Date of Decision: January 06, 2020

Pardeep Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner is an accused in case FIR No.4 dated 17.01.2016, under Sections 377, 384, 120-B IPC and 66-E, 67, 67-A of I.T. Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Narot Jaimal Singh, District Pathankot and has prayed for cancellation of bail granted to his co-accused (respondent No.2) vide order dated 23.08.2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that while granting bail, the stand of the counsel representing respondent No.2 was noticed, whereby it was stated that the accused will completely disassociate himself from the Dargah Nishan Shah situated at Narot Jaimal, so that no more sacrileges is committed. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the photographs (Annexure P-6) to contend that the said undertaking is being violated.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court

does not find any valid reason to cancel the bail granted to respondent No.2, particularly when the photographs do not indicate any such violation of the said undertaking. Except for this, no other point has been raised. It is also not disputed that the concession extended to respondent No.2 was never misused by him otherwise.

Petition stands dismissed.

**January 06, 2020**  
**ramesh**

**( MANOJ BAJAJ )**  
**JUDGE**

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <b>Whether speaking/reasoned</b> | <b>Yes/No</b> |
| <b>Whether Reportable:</b>       | <b>Yes/No</b> |