

**CRM No.3614 of 2020 in/and
CRM-M-53259 of 2019**

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.3614 of 2020 in/and
CRM-M-53259 of 2019
Date of decision:30.01.2020**

Manjot Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. N.S.Shekhawat, Advocate with
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

CRM No.3614 of 2020

Prayer in the application is for correction/modification in
Memo of Parties of present petition with regard to name of petitioner No.2
as Harjaspreet Singh @ Harjas Singh instead of Harjas.

Notice of the application to the non-applicant/respondent.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG,
Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the non-

applicant/respondent and has no objection to the application being allowed.

Application is allowed and Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

CRM-M-53259 of 2019

The petitioners are seeking regular bail in FIR No.191 dated 01.05.2016 under Sections 148, 149, 180, 323, 302, 506, 427 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there is no specific attribution qua the petitioners and they are in custody since 31.01.2019. In addition, reference has also been made to the order dated 08.01.2020 passed by this Court in CRM-M-50081 of 2019 titled as 'Yadwinder Singh Vs. State of Haryana'.

On instructions from ASI Gurdev Singh, learned State counsel has submitted that first challan was presented on 18.03.2017 and second challan was presented on 05.02.2019. Out of total of 64 prosecution witnesses, 19 witnesses have been examined.

Heard.

Since the petitioners are in custody for almost one year and the trial will take sometime to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioners behind the bars any further.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on

their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty
Magistrate.

January 30, 2020 savita	(SUVIR SEHGAL) JUDGE
Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No