

CRM-M-49832-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020

Harish Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. Birinder Singh Khehar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Through instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case arising from FIR No.184 dated 30.04.2018 registered under Section 22 (b) and 22 (c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station NIT, District Faridabad.

According to prosecution, petitioner was apprehended with 940 tablets of Alprasaf 0.5, 30 injection of Ketamine Hydrochloride measuring 10 ml each, 35 vials of Tramafar measuring 2 ml each and 100 tablets of Tricare MR which he was carrying, without any permit or licence.

Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 01 year 08 months. Conclusion of trial may take a sufficient long time. No useful purpose would be

served by detaining the petitioner any more in jail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. Consequently, petitioner is ordered to be released on bail during pendency of trial, if not required in any other case, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

06.01.2020

mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55478-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Saudagar Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : None.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Even on second call, none has come forward to represent the petitioner. Therefore, it can safely be presumed that petitioner or his counsel is no more interested in pursuing his petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2669-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Raman Kumari and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : None.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Even on second call, none has come forward to represent the petitioners. Therefore, it can safely be presumed that petitioners or their counsel are no more interested in pursuing their petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2520-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Saroj Devi and another Petitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : None.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Even on second call, none has come forward to represent the petitioners. Therefore, it can safely be presumed that petitioners or their counsel are no more interested in pursuing their petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

107

CRR-3486-2019 (O&M)

Rajpal Singh alias Raja vs. State of Punjab

Present: Mr. S.P.K.Phoolka, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

On request of learned counsel for petitioner on the
ground that arguing counsel is in personal difficulty, adjourned to
18.03.2020.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

06.01.2020
mamta

CRA-S-3776-2019 (O&M)

Raj Kumar and another vs. State of Haryana

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the appellants.

CRM-40657-2019

Application is allowed, as prayed for, subject to all just exceptions.

CRA-S-3776-2019

On request, adjourned to 05.05.2020.

CRM-40659-2019

Fine to the extent of Rs.4,000/- shall remain stayed during the pendency of appeal, inasmuch as remaining fine of Rs.40,000/- has been ordered to be paid to complainant party as compensation.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

06.01.2020
mamta

202

**CRM-27394-2019 in
CRA-S-1133-2019**

Ravinder Kumar alias Kala vs. State of Haryana

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
 for the applicant-appellant.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Learned State counsel seeks time to place on record the
custody certificate.

Adjourned to 01.04.2020, for consideration.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

06.01.2020
mamta

125

**CRM-39788-2019 in
CRR-875-2019**

**M/s Pradeep Kumar and another vs. M/s Devans Modern
Brewries Ltd.**

Present: Ms. Aman Bahri, Advocate
 for the applicant-respondent.

To be heard alongwith main petition on the date fixed i.e.

30.04.2020.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

06.01.2020
mamta

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2523-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Altaf alias Chatwa Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Ms. Veenus Malik, Advocate
for Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh, DAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Through instant petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India, prayer has been made to release the petitioner on parole for three weeks in a case arising from FIR No.121 dated 29.04.2008 registered under Sections 392, 394, 397, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Kotwali, District Faridabad, to meet his family members.

Heard.

Considering the conviction of the petitioner in several cases of heinous nature, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of parole, sought by him.

Dismissed.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

203

**CRM-34145-2019 in
CRR-2899-2019**

Sarabjit Singh vs. State of Punjab

Present: Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Custody certificate has been filed. Same is taken on
record. Be tagged at appropriate place.

Through this application, prayer has been made for grant
of suspension of sentence of the applicant-petitioner in case FIR
No.56 dated 10.03.2020, registered under Sections 411, 473, 171,
201 IPC, at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

Heard.

No ground for suspending the sentence of applicant-
petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

06.01.2020
mamta

CRA-117-MA-2015 (O&M)

Prem vs. Satbir

Present: Mr. Anshul Gupta, Advocte
 for Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate
 for the applicant.

 Mr. R.K.Bagga, Advocate
 for the respondent.

 On the request of learned proxy counsel for applicant for

adjournment on the ground that arguing counsel is unwell, which is

not opposed by opposite counsel, adjourned to 10.08.2020.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

06.01.2020
mamta

206

CRM-A-162-MA-2018 (O&M)

Dharambeer vs. State of Haryana and others

Present: None.

None has appeared on behalf of the applicant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 10.08.2020.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

06.01.2020
mamta

207

CRM-A-630-MA-2018 (O&M)

Punjab National Bank vs. Arjun Prashad

Present: None.

None has appeared on behalf of the applicant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 10.08.2020.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

06.01.2020
mamta

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-644-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Surjit Kaur Applicant

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : None.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

None has appeared on behalf of applicant.

Perusal of file shows that on the last date of hearing also, none came forward to represent the applicant. Therefore, it can safely be presumed that applicant or her counsel is no more interested in pursuing her application.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

211 (two cases)

CRR-1000-2019 (O&M)

CRR-1242-2019 (O&M)

Jaswinder Singh vs. Santosh Kumar and another

Present: Mr. Subhash Chander, Advocate
for Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Custody certificate in CRR-1000-2019 has been filed.

Same is taken on record. Be tagged at appropriate place.

As per said custody certificate, petitioner Jaswinder Singh
is on parole w.e.f. 21.11.2019 till 16.01.2020.

In view of above, learned counsel for the applicant-
petitioner prays for adjournment which is not opposed by the
opposite counsel.

Adjourned to 24.02.2020, for consideration.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of
connected case, numbered above.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

06.01.2020
mamta

212

CRR-179-2019 (O&M)

Avinash Kumar alias Mothu vs. M/s P.K.Enterprises

Present: None.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Even
order dated 26.02.2019 has not been complied with.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 08.07.2020.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

06.01.2020
mamta

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-20743-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Amandeep Kaur and another Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Ms. Harmanjeet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that on 15.07.2019, the petitioners made statement before the police that they do not require any alleged police protection.

In view of above, instant petition having rendered infructuous is dismissed.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37070-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Jaggiwan Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K.Banka, DAG, Punjab.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Perusal of file shows that since 17.10.2017, the instant petition has been taken on board for four times. However, none is appearing on behalf of petitioner during this span of more than 2 years 2 months. Thus, it can safely be presumed that petitioner or his counsel is no more interested in pursuing the instant petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-A-1153-MA-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.01.2020**

Kothari Trade Investment Pvt. Ltd. Applicant

Versus

Satpal Garg Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : None.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

None has come forward to represent the applicant.

Perusal of file shows that after institution of instant application i.e. on 12.05.2017, the same has been taken on board for six times. Only on the first date of hearing, applicant was represented by its counsel. Remaining five times including today, none came forward to represent the applicant. Therefore, it can safely be presumed that applicant or its counsel is no more interested in pursuing its application.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

06.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No