

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2020.01.17 17:28
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**Date of Decision: 17.01.2020
CRR-3378-2019**

Parinita	vs.	... Petitioner
Mahesh Kumar		.. Respondent

CRR-3379-2019

Parinita	vs.	... Petitioner
Shiv Kumar		.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Naveen Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanyam Khetarpal, Advocate for
Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate
for the respondent in CRR-3378-2019.

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent in CRR-3379-2019.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

In terms of order dated 19.11.2019 passed by SDJM, Gohana, permission was granted to the petitioner to produce copy of FIRs no. 226 of 2016 and 281 of 2016 in additional evidence subject to payment of Rs.500/- as costs to the opposite side and the case was adjourned for 03.12.2019. On the adjourned dated, the costs were neither paid nor documents were tendered and as such, the application was dismissed.

It has been stated that on 03.12.2019, the counsel could not put appearance on account of strike of the Bar. The strike of the Bar may not be a justified ground to extend the relief to the petitioner, particularly, because the parties were present.

However, the counsel for the respondents, in all fairness, state that the petitions may be allowed and one opportunity may be granted to the petitioner to deposit the cost and produce the copies of FIR in additional evidence.

In view of the above, both the petitions stand allowed. However, it is made clear that the petitioner will tender the cost and produce the copies of the FIR in additional evidence on the next date of hearing fixed before the learned trial Court.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

17.01.2020
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No