

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(i) CRM-M-52793-2019 (O&M)**

Sagar @ Chhagri ..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

**(ii) CRM-M-54315-2019 (O&M)**

Nikhil ..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

**Date of decision: 13.01.2020**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-52793-2019.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-54315-2019.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)**

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-52793-2019, filed by Sagar alias Chhagri and CRM-M-54315-2019, by Nikhil, under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.1086 dated 11.09.2019, registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 506 IPC (Section 326 IPC added lateron), at Police Station Jagadhri City, District Yamuna Nagar.

According to prosecution, on 10.09.2019, petitioner Sagar @ Chhagri caused rod blows, whereas petitioner Nikhil sword blows on the ribs and hand of injured Imran respectively.

Learned counsel contends that petitioner Sagar @ Chhagri is in custody since 30.09.2019 and petitioner Nikhil is in custody since 28.09.2019. No recovery has to be effected from them. Conclusion of trial may take a sufficient long time. No useful purpose would be served by detaining both the petitioners any more in jail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioners.

Considering overall facts and circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both the petitions are allowed. Consequently, petitioners are ordered to be released on bail during pendency of trial, if not required in any other case, on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

**13.01.2020**  
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/non-speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No