

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-35907-2019

Date of decision : 10.01.2020

Sh.Chhote Lal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

IDFC Bank and another

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU***

Present: Ms.Palak Dev, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Deepak Suri, Advocate
for respondent no.1.

RAJIV SHARMA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners on the date of issuance of notice of motion had stated that the petitioners were not served with notice under Section 13(4) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (in short 'the Act') as well as under Section 14 of the Act.

Learned counsel for respondent no.1-bank has placed on record the copy of the notice issued by way of publication under Section 13(4) of the Act as well as copy of notice issued under Section 13(2) of the Act.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

**(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE**

**(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE**

January 10, 2020.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No