

222.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53041-2019

Date of decision: 19.02.2020.

ROHIT RANDHAWA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ANR.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Kanwarjit Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.376 dated 15.07.2019 registered under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC (Section 377 of IPC deleted lateron) at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar.

Vide order dated 12.12.2019, while issuing notice of motion in the case, the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the arrest of the petitioner was stayed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has been compromised between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide settlement agreement dated 05.02.2020.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant does not dispute the factum of compromise.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It being a matrimonial dispute between the parties and the matter has been settled between the parties which has been reduced into writing vide Settlement Agreement dated 05.02.2020 and has duly been signed by the parties, the order dated 12.12.2019 whereby arrest of the petitioner was stayed is made absolute.

However, in case the petitioner is required in the case in any manner, a clear 15 days notice shall be given to him.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

The parties shall adhere to the terms of settlement agreement dated 05.02.2020 in letter and spirit.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

19.02.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No